

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 STEVE L. WALKUP; DEBORAH J. OWEN;  
11 A.W., a minor, by and through her guardian ad  
litem, STEVE L. WALKUP; and, Z.W., a  
12 minor, by and through his guardian ad litem,  
STEVE L. WALKUP,

No. C 06-07867 WHA

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 CITY OF COLMA, a governmental entity;  
16 ROBERT L. LOTTI, in his capacity as Chief  
of Police for CITY OF COLMA; ROGER  
17 ARREOLA, individually, and in his capacity  
as a police officer for CITY OF COLMA;  
18 and DOES 1-25, inclusive,

**ORDER APPROVING STIPULATED  
PROTECTIVE ORDER SUBJECT  
TO STATED CONDITIONS**

19 Defendants.  
20 \_\_\_\_\_ /

21 The stipulated protective order submitted by the parties is hereby **APPROVED**, subject to  
22 the following conditions:

- 23 1. The parties must make a good-faith determination that any  
24 information designated “confidential” warrants protection under Rule 26(c) of the  
25 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Designations of material as “confidential” must  
26 be narrowly tailored to include only material for which there is good cause. A  
27 pattern of over-designation may lead to an order de-designating all or most  
28 materials on a wholesale basis.

**United States District Court**

For the Northern District of California

1           2. In order to be treated as confidential, any materials filed with the  
2 Court must be lodged with a request for filing under seal in compliance with Civil  
3 Local Rule 79-5. Please limit your requests for sealing to only those  
4 narrowly-tailored portions of materials for which good cause to seal exists.  
5 Please include all other portions of your materials in the public file and  
6 clearly indicate therein where material has been redacted and sealed. Each filing  
7 requires an individualized sealing order; blanket prospective authorizations are no  
8 longer allowed by Civil Local Rule 79-5.

9           3. Chambers copies should include all material — both redacted and  
10 unredacted — so that the chambers staff does not have to re-assemble the whole  
11 brief or declaration. Although chambers copies should clearly designate which  
12 portions are confidential, chambers copies with confidential materials will be  
13 handled like all other chambers copies of materials without special restriction, and  
14 will typically be recycled, not shredded.

15          4. Any confidential materials used openly in court hearings or trial  
16 will not be treated in any special manner absent a further order.

17          5. This order does not preclude any party from moving to  
18 de-designate information or documents that have been designated as confidential.  
19 The party seeking to designate material as confidential has the burden of  
20 establishing that the material is entitled to protection.

21          6. The Court will retain jurisdiction over disputes arising from the  
22 proposed and stipulated protective order for only 90 days after final termination  
23 of the action.

24

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26

27 Dated: March 20, 2007.

28

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE